

Examiner's Interview Summary:

Applicant thanks Examiner Roe and Examiner Sheehan for the courtesies extended to the undersigned in an interview on July 17, 2008. The undersigned believes it was a helpful meeting.

During the interview the art and the rejections were discussed. The undersigned explained why Cassada, III, US 5,593,516 taught that the equations listed, for example in its claim 1, relating the amounts of Mg and Cu were mandatory rather than merely preferred. Agreement was reached on this.

The Examiners indicated they needed further explanation as to why the present invention does not overlap Cassada.

The Examiners also indicated they needed further explanation of why the comparison of Alloys 1 and 2, rather than a comparison of Alloy 1 with an example of Cassada, is sufficient to show unexpected results over Cassada for Claim 56.